arXiv:0709.3190v1 [math.SP] 20 Sep 2007

Uniform Convergence of the Spectral Expansion for a Differential Operator with Periodic Matrix Coefficients

O.A.Veliev
Depart. of Math, Fac.of Arts and Sci.,
Dogus University, Acıbadem, 34722,
Kadiköy, Istanbul, Turkey.
e-mail: oveliev@dogus.edu.tr

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain asymptotic formulas for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the operator generated by a system of ordinary differential equations with summable coefficients and the quasiperiodic boundary conditions. Using these asymptotic formulas, we find conditions on the coefficients for which the root functions of this operator form a Riesz basis. Then we obtain the uniformly convergent spectral expansion of the differential operators with the periodic matrix coefficients

1 Introduction

Let $L(P_2, P_3..., P_n)$ be the differential operator generated in the space $L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$ by the differential expression

$$l(y) = y^{(n)}(x) + P_2(x)\, y^{(n-2)}(x) + P_3(x)\, y^{(n-3)}(x) + ... + P_n(x)y$$

and $L_t(P_2, P_3..., P_n)$ be the differential operator generated in $L_2^m(0,1)$ by the same differential expression and the boundary conditions

$$U_{\nu,t}(y) \equiv y^{(\nu)}(1) - e^{it} y^{(\nu)}(0) = 0,\ \nu = 0, 1, ..., (n-1), \tag{1}$$

where $n \geq 2$, $P_\nu = (p_{\nu,i,j})$ is a $m \times m$ matrix with the complex-valued summable entries $p_{\nu,i,j}$, $P_\nu(x+1) = P_\nu(x)$ for $\nu = 2, 3, ...n$, the eigenvalues $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_m$ of the matrix

$$C = \int_0^1 P_2(x)\, dx$$

are simple. Here $L_2^m(a,b)$ is the space of the vector functions $f = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_m)$, where $f_k \in L_2(a,b)$ for $k = 1, 2, ..., m$, with the norm $\|.\|$ and inner product $(.,.)$ defined by

$$\|f\|^2 = \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^2\, dx\right),\ (f,g) = \int_a^b \langle f(x), g(x)\rangle\, dx,$$

where $|.|$ and $\langle .,.\rangle$ are the norm and inner product in $\mathbb{C}^m$. For notational convenience we identify $L = L(P_2, P_3..., P_n)$, $L_t = L_t(P_2, P_3..., P_n)$ in the following.

It is well-known that (see [2, 10]) the spectrum $\sigma(L)$ of L is the union of the spectra $\sigma(L_t)$ of L_t for $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. To construct the uniformly convergent spectral expansion for L we first obtain the uniform, with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of L_t, where

$$Q_\varepsilon(2\mu) = \{t \in Q : |t - \pi k| > \varepsilon, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}\},\ Q_\varepsilon(2\mu+1) = Q, \tag{2}$$

Q is compact connected subset of $\mathbb{C}$ containing a neighborhood of the interval $[-a, 2\pi - a]$, $a \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{a}{2})$ and $\mu = 1, 2, ...$ Then we prove that the root functions of L_t for $t \in \mathbb{C}(n)$ form a Riesz basis in $L_2^m(0,1)$, where $\mathbb{C}(2\mu) = \mathbb{C}\backslash\{\pi k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathbb{C}(2\mu+1) = \mathbb{C}$.

Let us introduce some preliminary results and describe the scheme of the paper. Clearly

$$\varphi_{k,j,t}(x) = \frac{e_j}{\|e^{itx}\|} e^{i(2\pi k + t)x} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, ..., m,$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, ..., 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$, ..., $e_m = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1)$, are the normalized eigenfunctions of the operator $L_t(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $(2\pi k i + ti)^n$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the operator $L_t(P_2, ..., P_n)$ is denoted by $L_t(0)$ when $P_2(x) = 0, ..., P_n(x) = 0$. It easily follows from the classical investigations [12, chapter 3, theorem 2] that the boundary conditions (1) are regular and all large eigenvalues of L_t belongs to one of the sequences

$$\{\lambda_{k,1}(t) :\mid k \mid\geq N\},\ \{\lambda_{k,2}(t) :\mid k \mid\geq N\}, ...,\ \{\lambda_{k,m}(t) :\mid k \mid\geq N\}, \tag{3}$$

where $N \gg 1$, satisfying the following, uniform with respect to $t \in Q$, asymptotic formulas

$$\lambda_{k,j}(t) = (2\pi k i + ti)^n + O\left(k^{n-1-\frac{1}{2m}}\right) \tag{4}$$

for $j = 1, 2, ..., m$. We say that the formula $f(k,t) = O(h(k))$ is uniform with respect to $t \in Q$ if there exists a positive constant c, independent of t, such that $\mid f(k,t)) \mid< c \mid h(k) \mid$ for all $t \in Q$ and $\mid k \mid\gg 1$.

The method proposed here allows us to obtain the asymptotic formulas of high accuracy for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ and the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions $\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)$ of L_t when $p_{\nu,i,j} \in L_1[0,1]$ for all ν, i, j . Note that to obtain the asymptotic formulas of high accuracy by the classical methods it is required that $P_2, P_3, ..., P_n$ be differentiable (see [12]). To obtain the asymptotic formulas for L_t we take the operator $L_t(C)$, where $L_t(P_2, ..., P_n)$ is denoted by $L_t(C)$ when $P_2(x) = C$, $P_3(x) = 0, ..., P_n(x) = 0$, for an unperturbed operator and $L_t - L_t(C)$ for a perturbation. One can easily verify that the eigenvalues and normalized eigenfunctions of $L_t(C)$ are

$$\mu_{k,j}(t) = (2\pi k i + ti)^n + \mu_j (2\pi k i + ti)^{n-2},\ \ \Phi_{k,j,t}(x) = \frac{v_j}{\|e^{itx}\|} e^{i(2\pi k + t)x} \tag{5}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j = 1, 2, ..., m$, where $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$ are the normalized eigenvectors of the matrix C corresponding to the eigenvalues $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_m$ respectively.

In section 2 we investigate the operator L_t and prove the following 2 theorems.

Theorem 1 *There exist positive constants c_1, N_0, independent of t, such that if $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ and $\mid k \mid\geq N_0$ then the following assertions hold:*

(*a*) *The eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ of L_t, satisfying (4), lie in*

$$\bigcup_{s=1,2,...,m} (U(\mu_{k,s}(t), c_1 |k|^{n-3} \ln |k|)),$$

where $U(\mu, c) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \mid \lambda - \mu \mid< c\}$.

(*b*) *If $\lambda_{k,j}(t) \in U(\mu_{k,p(j)}(t), c_1 |k|^{n-3} \ln |k|)$, then there exists unique eigenfunction $\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ and this eigenfunction satisfies*

$$\sup_{x\in[0,1]} \mid \Psi_{k,j,t}(x) - \frac{v_{p(j)}}{\|e^{itx}\|} e^{i(2\pi k + t)x} \mid\leq \frac{c_2 \ln |k|}{|k|}, \tag{6}$$

where c_2 is a constant independent of t and j.

Note that here and in forthcoming relations we denote by c_i for $i = 1, 2, ...,$ the positive constants, independent of t, whose exact values are inessential. Using Theorem 1 and investigating associated functions of L_t we prove:

Theorem 2 *(a) The large eigenvalues of L_t consist of m sequences (3) satisfying the following, uniform with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, formula*

$$\lambda_{k,j}(t) = (2\pi ki + ti)^n + \mu_j\,(2\pi ki + ti)^{n-2} + O(k^{n-3}\ln|k|), \tag{7}$$

namely, $\lambda_{k,j}(t) \in U(\mu_{k,j}(t), c_1|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|)$ for $\mid k \mid\geq N_0$, where c_1 and N_0 are defined in Theorem 1. If $\mid k \mid\geq N_0$, then $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ for $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ is a simple eigenvalue of L_t and the corresponding normalized eigenfunction $\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)$ satisfies

$$\Psi_{k,j,t}(x) = \frac{v_j}{\|e^{itx}\|}e^{i(2\pi k+t)x} + O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k}). \tag{8}$$

This formula is uniform with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, $x \in [0, 1]$, that is, there exist a constant c_3 such that the term $O(k^{-1}\ln|k|)$ in (8) satisfies

$$\mid O(k^{-1}\ln|k|) \mid< c_3 \mid k^{-1}\ln|k| \mid, \ \forall t \in Q_\varepsilon(n), \ x \in [0, 1].$$

(b) If $t \in \mathbb{C}(n)$ then the root functions of L_t form a Riesz basis in $L_2^m(0, 1)$.

(c) The eigenfunction $X_{k,j,t}(x)$ of L_t^*, where $(X_{k,j,t}, \Psi_{k,j,t}) = 1$, satisfies the following, uniform with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, $x \in [0, 1]$, formula

$$X_{k,j,t}(x) = v_j^*\left\|e^{itx}\right\|e^{i(2k\pi+\bar{t})x} + O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k}), \tag{9}$$

where v_j^* is the eigenvector of C^* corresponding to $\overline{\mu_j}$ and $(v_j^*, v_j) = 1$.

(d) If f is absolutely continuous function satisfying (1) and $f' \in L_2^m[0, 1]$ then the expansion series of $f(x)$ by the root functions of L_t converges uniformly in $[0, 1]$, where $t \in \mathbb{C}(n)$.

Note that A. A. Shkalikov [13, 14] proved that the root functions of the operators generated by a ordinary differential expression, in the scalar case, with summable coefficients and more complicated boundary conditions form a Riesz basis with brackets. L. M. Luzhina [8] generalized these results for the matrix case. In [22] we prove that if $n = 2$ and the eigenvalues of the matrix C are simple then the root functions of L_t for $t \in (0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi)$ form a ordinary Riesz basis without brackets. The case $n > 2$ is more complicated and the most part of the method of the paper [22] does not work here, since in the case $n > 2$ the adjoint operator of the operator generated by $l(y)$ with arbitrary summable coefficients can not be defined by the Lagrange's formula.

In section 3 using Theorem 2 we obtain spectral expansion for the operator L. The spectral expansion for the Hill operator with real-valued potential $q(x)$ was constructed by Gelfand in [4] and Titchmarsh in [15]. Tkachenko proved in [16] that the Hill operator, namely the operator L in the case $m = 1$, $n = 2$ can be reduced to triangular form if all eigenvalues of the corresponding operators L_t for $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ are simple. McGarvey in [10,11] proved that L, in the case $m = 1$, is spectral operator if the projections of the operator L are uniformly bounded. Gesztesy and Tkachenko in the recent paper [5] proved that the Hill operator is a spectral operator of scalar type if and only if for all $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ the operators L_t have not associated function, the multiple point of either the periodic or anti-periodic spectrum is a point of its Dirichlet spectrum and some other condition hold. However, in general, the eigenvalues are not simple, projections are not uniformly bounded, and L_t has associated function, since the Hill operator with simple potential

$q(x)=e^{i2\pi x}$ has infinitely many spectral singularities (see [3], where Gasymov investigated the Hill operator with special potential, analytically continuable onto the upper half plane). Note that the spectral singularity of L is the point of $S(T)$ in neighborhood on which the projections of the operator L are not uniformly bounded and we proved in [18] that a number $\lambda\in S(L_t)\subset S(L)$ is a spectral singularity if and only if L_t has an associated function corresponding to the eigenvalue λ. The existence of the spectral singularities and the absence of the Parseval's equality for the nonself-adjoint operator L_t do not allow us to apply the elegant method of Gelfand (see [4]) for construction of the spectral expansion for the nonself-adjoin operator L. These situation essentially complicate the construction of the spectral expansion for the nonself-adjoint case. In [17] and [20] we constructed the spectral expansion for the Hill operator with continuous complex-valued potential $q(x)$ and with locally summable complex-valued potential $q(x)$ respectively. Then in [19] and [21] we constructed the spectral expansion for the nonself-adjoint operator L, in the case $m=1$, with coefficients $p_k\in C^{(k-1)}[0,1]$ and with $p_k\in L_1[0,1]$ for $k=2,3,...,n$ respectively. In the paper [9] we constructed the spectral expansion of L when $p_{k,i,j}\in C^{(k-1)}[0,1]$. In this paper we do it when $p_{k,i,j}(x)$ are arbitrary Lebesgue integrable on $(0,1)$ functions. Besides, in [9] the expansion is obtained for compactly supported continuous vector functions, while in this paper for each function $f\in L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\mid f(x+k)\mid<\infty \tag{10}$$

when $n=2\mu-1$ and for each function from S, where $f(x)\in S\subset L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$ if and only if there exist positive constants M and α such that

$$\mid f(x)\mid<Me^{-\alpha|x|},\ \forall x\in(-\infty,\infty), \tag{11}$$

when $n=2\mu$. Moreover, using Theorem 2, we prove that the spectral expansion of L converges uniformly in every bounded subset of $(-\infty,\infty)$ if f is absolutely continuous compactly supported function and $f^{'}\in L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$. Note that the spectral expansion obtained in [9], when $p_{k,i,j}\in C^{(k-1)}[0,1]$, converges in the norm of $L_2^m(a,b)$, where a and b are arbitrary real number. Some parts of the proofs of the spectral expansions for L is just writing in vector form of the corresponding proofs obtained in [19] for the case $m=1$. These parts are given in appendices, in order to give a possibility to reed this paper independently.

2 On the eigenvalues and root functions of L_t

The formula (4) shows that the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ of L_t is close to the eigenvalue $(2k\pi i+ti)^n$ of $L_t(0)$. If $t\in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, $\mid k\mid\gg 1$ then the eigenvalue $(2\pi ki+ti)^n$ of $L_t(0)$ lies far from the other eigenvalues $(2p\pi i+ti)^n$. It follows from (4) that

$$|\lambda_{k,j}(t)-(2\pi pi+ti)^n\mid>c_4((||k|-|p||+1)(|k|+|p|)^{n-1}$$

for $p\neq k$, $t\in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, where $\mid k\mid\gg 1$. Using this one can easily verify that

$$\sum_{p:p>d}\frac{|p|^{n-\nu}}{|\lambda_{k,j}(t)-(2\pi pi+ti)^n|}=O(\frac{1}{d^{\nu-1}}),\ \forall d>2\mid k\mid, \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{p:p\neq k}\frac{\mid p\mid^{n-\nu}}{|\lambda_{k,j}(t)-(2\pi pi+ti)^n|}=O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k^{\nu-1}}), \tag{13}$$

where $\mid k\mid\gg 1$, $\nu\geq 2$, and (12), (13) are uniform with respect to $t\in Q_\varepsilon(n)$.

The boundary conditions adjoint to (2) is $U_{\nu,\overline{t}}(y)=0$ for $\nu=0,1,...,(n-1)$. Therefore the eigenfunction $\varphi^*_{k,s,t}(x)$ and $\Phi^*_{k,s,t}(x)$ of the operators $L^*_t(0)$ and $L^*_t(C)$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\overline{(2\pi pi+ti)^n}$ and $\overline{\mu_{k,j}(t)}$ respectively and satisfying $(\varphi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{k,s,t})=1$, $(\Phi_{k,j,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})=1$ are

$$\varphi^*_{k,s,t}(x)=e_s\left\|e^{itx}\right\|e^{i\left(2\pi k+\overline{t}\right)x},\ \Phi^*_{k,s,t}(x)=v^*_s\left\|e^{itx}\right\|e^{i\left(2\pi k+\overline{t}\right)x},\tag{14}$$

where v^*_s is defined in Theorem 2(c).

To prove the asymptotic formulas for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ and the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions $\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)$ of L_t we use the formula

$$(\lambda_{k,j}-\mu_{k,s})(\Psi_{k,j,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})=((P_2-C)\Psi^{(n-2)}_{k,j,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})+\sum_{\nu=3}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})\tag{15}$$

which can be obtained from

$$L_t\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)=\lambda_{k,j}(t)\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)\tag{16}$$

by multiplying scalarly by $\Phi^*_{k,s,t}(x)$. To estimate the right-hand side of (15) we use (12), (13), the following lemma, and the formula

$$\left(\lambda_{k,j}(t)-(2\pi pi+ti)^n\right)\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t}\right)=\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t})\tag{17}$$

which can be obtained from (16) by multiplying scalarly by $\varphi^*_{p,s,t}(x)$.

Lemma 1 *If* $|k|\gg1$ *and* $t\in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, *then*

$$(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t})=\sum_{q=1}^{m}(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}p_{\nu,s,q,p-l}(2\pi li+it)^{n-\nu}(\Psi_{k,t},\varphi^*_{l,q,t})),\tag{18}$$

where $p_{\nu,s,q,k}=\int_0^1p_{\nu,s,q}(x)e^{-i2\pi kx}dx$. *Moreover*

$$\max_{p\in\mathbb{Z},s=1,2,\ldots,m}\left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t})\right|<c_5|k|^{n-2}.\tag{19}$$

Proof. Since $P_2\Psi^{(n-2)}_{k,j,t}+P_3\Psi^{(n-3)}_{k,j,t}+\cdots+P_n\Psi_{k,j,t}\in L^m_1[0,1]$ we have

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t})\right|=0.$$

Therefore there exist a positive constant $M(k,j)$ and indices p_0,s_0 satisfying

$$\max_{\substack{p\in\mathbb{Z},\\ s=1,2,\ldots,m}}\left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t})\right|=\left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p_0,s_0,t})\right|=M(k,j).\tag{20}$$

Then using (17) and (12), we get

$$\left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t}\right)\right|\le\frac{M(k,j)}{|\lambda_{k,j}(t)-(2\pi pi+it)^n|},\tag{21}$$

$$\sum_{p:|p|>d}\left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t}\right)\right| < \frac{c_6 M(k,j)}{d^{n-1}},$$

where $d > 2|k|$. This implies that the decomposition of $\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)$ by basis $\{\varphi_{p,s,t}(x) : p \in \mathbb{Z},\ s = 1,2,...,m\}$ is of the form

$$\Psi_{k,j,t}(x) = \sum_{p:|p|\le d}\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t}\right)\varphi_{p,s,t}(x) + g_{0,d}(x), \tag{22}$$

where

$$\sup_{x\in[0,1]}|g_{0,d}(x)| < \frac{c_7 M(k,j)}{d^{n-1}}.$$

Now using the integration by parts, (1), and the inequality (21), we obtain

$$(\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t}) = (2\pi ip+it)^{n-\nu}\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t}\right),$$

$$\left|\left(\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t}\right)\right| \le \frac{|2\pi ip+it|^{n-\nu}M(k,j)}{|\lambda_k(t)-(2\pi pi+it)^n|}.$$

Therefore arguing as in the proof of (22) and using (12) we get

$$\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t}(x) = \sum_{p:|p|\le d}\left(\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t}\right)\varphi_{p,s,t}(x) + g_{\nu,d}(x), \tag{23}$$

where $\nu = 2,3,\dots,n$, and

$$\sup_{x\in[0,1]}|g_{\nu,d}(x)| < \frac{c_7 M(k,j)}{d^{\nu-1}}.$$

Now using (23) in $(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t})$ and tending q to ∞, we obtain (18).

Let us we prove (19). It follows from (20) and (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} M(k,j) &= \left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{p_0,s_0,t})\right| \\ &= \left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}\sum_{q=1}^{m}\Big(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}p_{\nu,s_0,q,p_0-l}(2\pi im+it)^{n-\nu}(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{l,q,t})\Big)\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

By (21) and (13) we have

$$\left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}\sum_{q=1}^{m}\Big(\sum_{l\ne k}p_{\nu,s_0,q,p_0-l}(2\pi im+it)^{n-\nu}(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{l,q,t})\Big)\right| \le c_8 M(k,j)\frac{\ln|k|}{|\,k\,|}.$$

On the other hand

$$\left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}\sum_{q=1}^{m}(p_{\nu,s_0,q,p_0-k}(2\pi im+it)^{n-\nu}(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi^*_{k,q,t}))\right| = O(k^{n-2}).$$

Therefore using (24) we get

$$M(k,j) = M(k,j)O((\frac{\ln|k|}{k}) + O(|k|^{n-2}),$$

$M(k,j) = O(|k|^{n-2})$ which means that (19) holds ■

It follows from (19)-(21) that

$$\left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi_{p,q,t}^{*}\right)\right|\leq\frac{c_{5}|k|^{n-2}}{|\lambda_{k,j}(t)-(2\pi pi+it)^{n}|},\ \forall p\neq k. \tag{25}$$

Now using this we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *The following equalities*

$$\left((P_{2}-C)\Psi_{k,j,t}^{(n-2)},\Phi_{k,s,t}^{*}\right)=O(k^{n-3}\ln|k|), \tag{26}$$

$$\left((P_{\nu}\Psi_{k,j,t}^{(n-\nu)},\Phi_{k,s,t}^{*}\right)=O(k^{n-3}) \tag{27}$$

hold uniformly with respect to $t\in Q_{\varepsilon}(n)$, *where* $\nu\geq3$.

Proof. Using (18) for $\nu=2$, $p=k$ and the obvious relation

$$\left(C\Psi_{k,j,t}^{(n-2)},\varphi_{k,s,t}^{*}\right)=\sum_{q=1}^{m}(p_{2,s,q,0}(2\pi ki+it)^{n-2}(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi_{k,q,t}^{*}))$$

we see that

$$\left((P_{2}-C)\Psi_{k,j,t}^{(n-2)},\varphi_{k,s,t}^{*}\right)=\sum_{q=1}^{m}(\sum_{l\neq k}p_{2,s,q,k-l}(2\pi li+it)^{n-2}(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi_{l,q,t}^{*})).$$

This with (25) and (13) for $\nu=2$ implies that

$$\left((P_{2}-C)\Psi_{k,j,t}^{(n-2)},\varphi_{k,s,t}^{*}\right)=O(k^{n-3}\ln|k|).$$

Similarly, using (18), (25), (13) we obtain

$$\left((P_{\nu}\Psi_{k,j,t}^{(n-\nu)},\varphi_{k,s,t}^{*}\right)=O(k^{n-3}),\ \forall\nu\geq3.$$

Since (13) is uniform with respect to $t\in Q_{\varepsilon}(n)$ and the constant c_5 in (25) does not depend on t (recall that we denote by c_k the constant independent of t) these formulas are uniform with respect to $t\in Q_{\varepsilon}(n)$. Therefore recalling the definitions of $\Phi_{k,s,t}^{*}$ and $\varphi_{k,q,t}^{*}$ (see (14)) we get the proof of (26) and (27) ■

Lemma 3 *There exist positive number* N_1, *independent of* t, *such that*

$$\max_{s=1,2,...,m}\left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\Phi_{k,s,t}^{*}\right)\right|>c_{9} \tag{28}$$

for all $|\ k\ |\geq N_1$, $t\in Q_{\varepsilon}(n)$, *and* $j=1,2,...,m$.

Proof. It follows from (25) and (13) that

$$\sum_{s=1,2,...,m}(\sum_{p:\ p\neq k}\left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi_{p,s,t}^{*}\right)\right|=O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k}) \tag{29}$$

and this formula is uniform with respect to $t\in Q_{\varepsilon}(n)$. Then the decomposition of $\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)$ by the basis $\{\varphi_{p,s,t}(x):s=1,2,...,m,\ p\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ has the form

$$\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)=\sum_{s=1,2,...,m}\left(\Psi_{k,j,t},\varphi_{k,s,t}^{*}\right)\varphi_{k,s,t}(x)+O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k}). \tag{30}$$

Since $\| \Psi_{k,j,t} \|=\| \varphi_{k,j,t} \|= 1$ and (30) is uniform with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, there exists a positive constant N_1, independent of t, such that

$$\max_{s=1,2,...,m} \left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,t}, \varphi^*_{k,s,t}\right)\right| > \frac{1}{m+1}$$

for all $| k |\geq N_1$, $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ and $j = 1, 2, ..., m$. Therefore using (14) and taking into account that the vectors $v^*_1, v^*_2, ..., v^*_m$ form a basis in $\mathbb{C}^m$, that is, e_s is a linear combination of these vectors we get the proof of (28) ■

THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1(a)**.** It follows from Lemma 2 that there exists a positive constant N_2, independent of t, such that if $| k |\geq N_2$, $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ then the right-hand side of (15) is less than $c_{10}|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|$. Therefore (15) and Lemma 3 give the proof of the Theorem $1(a)$.

THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1(b)**.** Let $\lambda_{k,j}$ be an eigenvalue of L_t lying in $U(\mu_{k,p(j)}(t), c_1|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|)$ and $\Psi_{k,j,t}$ be any normalized eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{k,j}$. Then using (5) and taking into account that the eigenvalues of C are simple we get

$$| \lambda_{k,j} - \mu_{k,s} |> a_{p(j)} \mid k \mid^{n-2} \quad \text{for } s \neq p(j),$$

where $a_{p(j)} = \min_{s\neq p(j)} \mid \mu_{p(j)} - \mu_s \mid$. This with (15), (26), (27) gives

$$\left(\Psi_{k,j,t}, \Phi^*_{k,s,t}\right) = O(\frac{(\ln|k|)}{k}),\ \forall s \neq p(j). \tag{31}$$

On the other hand by (14) and (29) we have

$$\sum_{s=1,2,...,m} (\sum_{p:\ p\neq k} \left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,t}, \Phi^*_{p,s,t}\right)\right| = O(\frac{(\ln|k|)}{k}). \tag{32}$$

Since (26), (27), (29) are uniform with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ the formulas (31) and (32) are also uniform. Therefore decomposing $\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)$ by basis $\{\Phi_{p,s,t}(x) : s = 1, 2, ..., m,\ p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ we see that any normalized eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{k,j}$ satisfies (6). If there are two linearly independent eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_{k,j}$, then one can find two orthogonal eigenfunctions satisfying (6), which is impossible. Theorem 1 is proved.

To proof of the main results for L_t (Theorem 2) we need to investigate the normalized associated function $\Psi_{k,j,1,t}(x)$ of L_t corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$. By definition of the associated function we have

$$(L_t - \lambda_{k,j})\Psi_{k,j,1,t}(x) = \Psi_{k,j,0,t}(x), \tag{33}$$

where $\Psi_{k,j,0,t}(x)$ is an eigenfunction of L_t. Note that, in general, the eigenfunction $\Psi_{k,j,0,t}(x)$ is not normalized. For investigation of the associated function we use the following formulas. Multiplying scalarly (33) by $\varphi^*_{p,s,t}$ we get

$$(\lambda_{k,j} - (2\pi pi + ti)^n)(\Psi_{k,j,s,t}, \varphi^*_{p,s,t}) = \sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(P_\nu \Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,q,t}, \varphi^*_{p,s,t}) - (\Psi_{k,j,0,t}, \varphi^*_{p,s,t}). \tag{34}$$

Similarly, multiplying scalarly (33) by $\Phi^*_{k,s,t}$, we obtain

$$(\Psi_{k,j,0,t}, \Phi^*_{k,s,t}) = (L_t(C)\Psi_{k,j,1,t}, \Phi^*_{k,s,t}) + ((P_2 - C)\Psi^{(n-2)}_{k,j,1,t}, \Phi^*_{k,s,t})+$$

$$\sum_{\nu=3}^{n}(P_\nu \Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,1,t}, \Phi^*_{k,s,t}) - \lambda_{k,j}(\Psi_{k,j,1,t}, \Phi^*_{k,s,t}).$$

Since $(L_t(C)\Psi_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})=\mu_{k,s}(\Psi_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})$ we have

$$(\lambda_{k,j}-\mu_{k,s})(\Psi_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})=$$

$$((P_2-C)\Psi^{(n-2)}_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})+\sum_{\nu=3}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})-(\Psi_{k,j,0,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t}). \tag{35}$$

Lemma 4 *For any normalized associated eigenfunction $\Psi_{k,j,1,t}$ of L_t the following, uniform with respect to $t\in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, formulas hold*

$$\Big((P_2-C)\Psi^{(n-2)}_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t}\Big)=O(k^{n-3}\ln|k|), \tag{36}$$

$$\Big((P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t}\Big)=O(k^{n-3}),\ \ \forall\nu\geq 3. \tag{37}$$

Proof. Instead of (17) using (34) and repeating the proof of (19) we obtain

$$\max_{p\in\mathbb{Z},s=1,2,\ldots,m}\left|\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,q,t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t})\right|<c_{11}(|k|^{n-2}+\parallel\Psi_{k,j,0,t}\parallel). \tag{38}$$

Using (38) and repeating the proof of (25)-(27) we get

$$\big|(\Psi_{k,j,1t},\varphi^*_{p,s,t})\big|\leq\frac{c_{12}(|k|^{n-2}+\parallel\Psi_{k,j,0,t}\parallel)}{|\lambda_k(t)-(2\pi pi+it)^n|}, \tag{39}$$

$$((P_2-C)\Psi^{(n-2)}_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t})=O(|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|+\parallel\Psi_{k,j,0,t}\parallel\frac{\ln|k|}{|k|})), \tag{40}$$

$$\Big((P_\nu\Psi^{(n-\nu)}_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,s,t}\Big)=O(|k|^{n-3}+|k|^{-1}\parallel\Psi_{k,j,0,t}\parallel) \tag{41}$$

for $\nu\geq 3$. Using (40), (41) in (35) for $s=p(j)$ and taking into account that

$$(\lambda_{k,j}-\mu_{k,p(j)})(\Psi_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^*_{k,p(j),t})=O(\frac{\ln|k|}{|k|^{3-n}}),$$

$$(\frac{\Psi_{k,j,0,t}}{\parallel\Psi_{k,j,0,t}\parallel},\Phi^*_{k,p(j),t})=1+O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k})$$

(see the definition of $p(j)$ in Theorem 1) we obtain

$$O(\frac{\ln|k|}{|k|^{3-n}})=\parallel\Psi_{k,j,0,t}\parallel(1+O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k}))+O(\frac{\ln|k|}{|k|^{3-n}}+\parallel\Psi_{k,j,0,t}\parallel\frac{\ln|k|}{|k|})$$

which yields the equality

$$\parallel\Psi_{k,j,0,t}(x)\parallel=O(|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|). \tag{42}$$

Now (40), (41) and (42) imply (36) and (37) ■

Lemma 5 *Any normalized associated function $\Psi_{k,j,1,t}(x)$ of L_t corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,j}(t)\in U(\mu_{k,p(j)}(t),c_1|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|)$, where$|\ k\ |\geq N_0$ and c_1, $p(j)$, N_0 are defined in Theorem 1, satisfies*

$$\Psi_{k,j,1,t}(x)=\frac{v_{p(j)}}{\|e^{itx}\|}e^{i(2\pi k+t)x}+O(\frac{(\ln|k|)}{k}). \tag{43}$$

Proof. (a) It follows from (39), (42) that

$$\left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,1t},\varphi^{*}_{p,s,t}\right)\right|\leq\frac{c_{13}|k|^{n-2}}{|\lambda_k(t)-(2\pi pi+it)^n|}.$$

Using this instead of (25) and repeating the proof of (32) and (31) we obtain

$$\sum_{s=1,2,...,m}\left(\sum_{p:p\neq k}\left|\left(\Psi_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^{*}_{p,s,t}\right)\right|=O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k}),\right.$$

$$\left(\Psi_{k,j,1,t},\Phi^{*}_{k,s,t}\right)=O(\frac{\ln|k|}{k}),\ \forall s\neq p(j)$$

which imply the proof of (43) ■

THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2(a). Let $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ be eigenvalue of L_t lying in $U(\mu_{k,p(j)}(t),c_1|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|)$, where $\mid k\mid\geq N_0$. By Theorem 1 there exist only one eigenfunction $\Psi_{k,j,t}(x)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$. Suppose that there exist associated function $\Psi_{k,j,1,t}(x)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$. Using Lemma 5 and taking into account that for any $a\in\mathbb{C}$ the function $\Psi_{k,j,1t}+a\Psi_{k,j,t}$ is associated function one can find two orthogonal root functions satisfying (43) which is impossible. Thus we proved that the operator L_t has not associated function corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ for $\mid k\mid\geq N_0$. Using this, (3), (4), and Theorem 1, we obtain the following:

Proposition 1 *There exist a number N_0 such that the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,1}(t)$, $\lambda_{k,2}(t)$, ..., $\lambda_{k,m}(t)$ of L_t for $t\in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, $\mid k\mid\geq N_0$ are simple and they lie in the union of the pairwise disjoint intervals*

$$U(\mu_{k,1}(t),\frac{c_1\ln|k|}{|k|^{3-n}}),\ U(\mu_{k,2}(t),\frac{c_1\ln|k|}{|k|^{3-n}}),...,\ U(\mu_{k,m}(t),\frac{c_1\ln|k|}{|k|^{3-n}}).\tag{44}$$

Now let us prove that in each of these intervals there exists unique eigenvalue of L_t. For this we consider the following family of operators

$$L_{t,\varepsilon}=L_t(C)+\varepsilon(L_t-L_t(C)),\ 0\leq\varepsilon\leq1.\tag{45}$$

It is clear that the proposition 1 holds for $L_{t,\varepsilon}$, that is, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,1,\varepsilon}(t)$, $\lambda_{k,2,\varepsilon}(t)$, ..., $\lambda_{k,m,\varepsilon}(t)$, where $|k|\geq N_0$, of $L_{t,\varepsilon}$ are simple and they lie in the union of the pairwise disjoint m intervals (44). Since $\lambda_{k,j,\varepsilon}$ is a simple eigenvalue it is a simple root of the characteristic determinant $\Delta(\lambda,\varepsilon)$ of $L_{t,\varepsilon}$. Clearly, $\Delta(\lambda,\varepsilon)$ is analytic function of λ and ε and $\Delta(\lambda_{k,j,\varepsilon},\varepsilon)=0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Delta(\lambda,\varepsilon)\neq0$ for $\lambda=\lambda_{k,j,\varepsilon}$. Therefore using the implicit function theorem and taking into account that $\lambda_{k,1,\varepsilon}(t)$, $\lambda_{k,2,\varepsilon}(t)$, ..., $\lambda_{k,m,\varepsilon}(t)$ are simple eigenvalues one can easily see that these eigenvalues continuously depend on ε. Therefore taking into account that in each of the pairwise disjoint intervals (44) there exists unique eigenvalue of $L_{t,0}$, we conclude that in $U(\mu_{k,j},\frac{c_1\ln|k|}{|k|^{3-n}})$ for $|k|\geq N_0$, $j=1,2,...,m$ there exists unique eigenvalue of $L_{t,\varepsilon}$ for all values of $\varepsilon\in[0,1]$. Let us denote this eigenvalue of $L_{t,\varepsilon}$ by $\lambda_{k,j,\varepsilon}(t)$. Thus we proved the following:

Proposition 2 *Let $t\in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, $\varepsilon\in[0,1]$. All large eigenvalues of $L_{t,\varepsilon}$ belong to one of the intervals (44) for $|k|\geq N_0$. For each eigenvalues $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ of $L_t(C)$, where $|k|\geq N_0$, there exists unique eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,j,\varepsilon}(t)$ of $L_{t,\varepsilon}$ lying in $U(\mu_{k,j}(t),c_1|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|)$.*

By Proposition 1 the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ of L_t for $|k|\geq N_0$ is simple and by Theorem 1 the corresponding eigenfunction satisfy (6), where $p(j)=j$ (see the definition of $p(j)$ in Theorem 1), that is, (8), (7) and Theorem 2(a) is proved.

THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2(b). It follows from (8) that the root functions of L_t quadratically close to the system

$$\{v_j \left\|e^{itx}\right\|^{-1} e^{i(2\pi k+t)x} : \ k\in\mathbb{Z},\ l=1,2,...,m\}$$

which form a Riesz in $L_2^m(0,1)$. On the other hand the system of the root functions of L_t is complete and minimal in $L_2^m(0,1)$ (see [8]). Therefore, by Bari theorem (see [1,6]), the system of the root functions of L_t forms a Riesz basis in $L_2^m(0,1)$.

THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2(c). To prove the asymptotic formulas for normalized eigenfunction $\Psi^*_{k,j,t}(x)$ of L_t^* corresponding to the eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda_{k,j}(t)}$ we use the formula

$$\left(\overline{\lambda_{k,j}(t)}-\overline{(2\pi pi+ti)^n}\right)\left(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\varphi_{p,s,t}\right)=\sum_{\nu=2}^{n}(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\overline{(2\pi pi+ti)}^{n-\nu}P_\nu\varphi_{p,s,t})$$

obtained from $L_t^*\Psi^*_{k,j,t}=\overline{\lambda_{k,j}(t)}\Psi^*_{k,j,t}$ by multiplying by $\varphi_{p,s,t}$ and using

$$(L_t^*\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\varphi_{p,s,t})=(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},L_t\varphi_{p,s,t}).$$

Instead of (17) using these formula and arguing as in the proof of (25) we obtain

$$\left|\left(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\varphi_{p,q,t}\right)\right|\leq\frac{c_{14}|k|^{n-2}}{|\lambda_{k,j}(t)-(2\pi pi+it)^n|},\ \forall p\neq k.$$

This with (5) and (13) implies the following relations

$$\left|\left(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\Phi_{p,q,t}\right)\right|\leq\frac{c_{15}|k|^{n-2}}{|\lambda_{k,j}(t)-(2\pi pi+it)^n|},\ \forall p\neq k,\tag{46}$$

$$\sum_{s=1,2,\ldots,m}\Big(\sum_{p:\ p\neq k}\left|\left(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\Phi_{p,s,t}\right)\right|=O(\frac{(\ln|k|)}{k}).\tag{47}$$

On the other hand (8) and equality $\left(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\Psi_{k,s,t}\right)=0$ for $j\neq s$ give

$$\left(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\Phi_{k,s,t}\right)=O(\frac{(\ln|k|)}{k}),\ \forall s\neq j.\tag{48}$$

Since (8), (13) hold uniformly the formulas (46)-(48) are uniform with respect to $t\in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ and they yield

$$\Psi^*_{k,j,t}(x)=v_j^*\left\|e^{itx}\right\|e^{(2k\pi i+i\bar{t})x}+O(\frac{\ln\mid k\mid}{k}),\tag{49}$$

where v_j^* is defined in Theorem 2(c). Now (8) and (49) imply (9), since

$$X_{k,j,t}=\frac{\Psi^*_{k,j,t}}{(\Psi^*_{k,j,t},\Psi_{k,j,t})}=(1+O(\frac{\ln\mid k\mid}{k}))\Psi^*_{k,j,t}.\tag{50}$$

THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2(d). To investigate the convergence of the expansion series of L_t we consider the series

$$\sum_{k:|k|\geq N,\ j=1,2,\ldots,m}(f,X_{k,j,t})\Psi_{k,j,t}(x),\tag{51}$$

where $N\geq N_0$ and N_0 is defined in Theorem 1, $f(x)$ is absolutely continuous function satisfying (1) and $f^{'}(x)\in L_2^m(0,1)$. Without loss of generality instead of the series (51) we consider the series

$$\sum_{k:|k|\geq N,\ j=1,2,\ldots,m}(f_t,X_{k,j,t})\Psi_{k,j,t}(x),\tag{52}$$

where $f_t(x)$ is defined by Gelfand transform (see [4])

$$f_t(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+k)e^{-ikt}, \tag{53}$$

f is absolutely continuous compactly supported function and $f^{'} \in L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$, since we use (52) in next section for spectral expansion of L. It follows from (53) that

$$f_t(x+1) = e^{it} f_t(x),\ f_t^{'} \in L_2^m[0,1]. \tag{54}$$

To prove the uniform convergence of (52) we consider the series

$$\sum_{|k|\geq N,\ j=1,2,...,m} \mid (f_t, X_{k,j,t}) \mid . \tag{55}$$

To estimate the terms of this series we decompose $X_{k,j,t}$ by basis $\{\Phi^*_{p,s,t} : p \in \mathbb{Z},\ s = 1,2,...,m\}$ and then use the inequality

$$\mid (f_t, X_{k,j,t}) \mid \leq \sum_{s=1,2,...,m} \mid (f_t, \Phi^*_{k,s,t}) \mid |(X_{k,j,t}, \Phi_{k,s,t})| + \tag{56}$$

$$\sum_{p\neq k,\ s=1,2,...,m} \mid (f_t, \Phi^*_{p,j,t}) \mid |(X_{k,j,t}, \Phi_{p,s,t})| .$$

Using the integration by parts and then Schwarz inequality we get

$$\sum_{\substack{|k|\geq N,\\ s=1,2,...,m}} \mid (f_t, \Phi^*_{k,s,t}) \mid = \sum_{\substack{|k|\geq N,\\ s=1,2,...,m}} \mid \frac{1}{2\pi ki + it}(f_t^{'}, \Phi^*_{k,s,t}) \mid < \infty. \tag{57}$$

Again using the integration by parts, Schwarz inequality and (46), (50) we obtain that the expression in the in the second row of (56) is less than

$$c_{16} \parallel f_t^{'} \parallel \left(\sum_{p\neq k,\ s=1,2,...,m} \left| \frac{1}{p} \frac{|k|^{n-2}}{|\lambda_{k,s}(t) - (2\pi pi + it)^n|} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

It is not hard to see that this expression is less than $c_{17}k^{-2}$, that is, the expression in the second row of (56) is less than $c_{17}k^{-2}$. Therefore the relations (56), (57) imply that the expressions in (55) and (52) tend to zero uniformly with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ and $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, $x \in [0,1]$ respectively as $N \to \infty$. Since in the proof of the uniform convergence of (52) we used only the properties (54) of f_t the series (51) converges uniformly with respect to $x \in [0,1]$, that is, Theorem $2(d)$ is proved.

Note that in the proof of Theorem $2(d)$ we proved the following theorem, which will be used in next section.

Theorem 3 *If f is absolutely continuous, compactly supported function and $f^{'} \in L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$ then the series (52), where f_t is defined by (53), $N \geq N_0$, N_0 is defined in Theorem 1(a), converges uniformly with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, $x \in D$ for any bounded subset D of $(-\infty,\infty)$.*

Indeed we proved that (52) converges uniformly with respect to $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$, $x \in [0,1]$. Therefore taking into account that (1) implies the equality

$$\Psi_{k,j,t}(x+1) = e^{it}\Psi_{k,j,t}(x), \tag{58}$$

we get the proof of Theorem 3.

3 Spectral Expansion for L

Let $Y_1(x,\lambda), Y_2(x,\lambda), \ldots, Y_n(x,\lambda)$ be the solutions of the matrix equation

$$Y^{(n)}(x) + P_2\left(x\right)Y^{(n-2)}(x) + P_3\left(x\right)Y^{(n-3)}(x) + ... + P_n(x)Y = \lambda Y(x), \tag{59}$$

satisfying $Y_k^{(j)}(0,\lambda) = 0_m$ for $j \neq k-1$ and $Y_k^{(k-1)}(0,\lambda) = I_m$, where 0_m and I_m are $m \times m$ zero and identity matrices respectively. The eigenvalues of the operator L_t are the roots of the characteristic determinant

$$\Delta(\lambda,t) = \det(Y_j^{(\nu-1)}(1,\lambda) - e^{it}Y_j^{(\nu-1)}(0,\lambda))_{j,\nu=1}^{n} = \tag{60}$$

$$e^{inmt} + f_1(\lambda)e^{i(nm-1)t} + f_2(\lambda)e^{i(nm-2)t} + ... + f_{nm-1}(\lambda)e^{it} + 1$$

which is a polynomial of e^{it} with entire coefficients $f_1(\lambda), f_2(\lambda), ...$ Therefore the multiple eigenvalues of the operators L_t are the zeros of the resultant $R(\lambda) \equiv R(\Delta, \Delta^{'})$ of the polynomials $\Delta(\lambda,t)$ and $\Delta^{'}(\lambda,t) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Delta(\lambda,t)$. Since $R(\lambda)$ is entire function and the large eigenvalues of L_t for $t \neq 0, \pi$ are simple (see Theorem 2 (a)),

$$\ker R = \{\lambda : R(\lambda) = 0\} = \{a_1, a_2, ..., \}, \ \lim_{k\to\infty} a_k = \infty. \tag{61}$$

For each a_k there are nm values $t_{k,1}, t_{k,2}, ..., t_{k,nm}$ of t satisfying $\Delta(a_k,t) = 0$. Hence the set

$$A = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty}\{t : \Delta(a_k,t) = 0\} = \{t_{k,i} : i = 1,2,...,nm; k = 1,2,..., \} \tag{62}$$

is countable and for $t \notin A$ all eigenvalues of L_t are simple eigenvalues. By Theorem 2(a) the possible accumulation point of the set A are πk, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 6 *The eigenvalues of L_t can be numbered as $\lambda_1(t)$, $\lambda_2(t)$, ..., such that for each p the function $\lambda_p(t)$ is continuous in Q and is analytic in $Q\backslash A(p)$, where $A(p)$ is a subset of A consisting of finite numbers $t_1^p, t_2^p, ..., t_{s_p}^p$. Moreover the followings hold:*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \lambda_p(t) = \infty, \ \lambda_{p(k,j)}(t) = \lambda_{k,j}(t), \ \forall t \in Q_\varepsilon(n), \tag{63}$$

where $|k| \geq N_0$, $p(k,j) = 2|k|m + j$ if $k > 0$, $p(k,j) = (2|k|-1)m + j$ if $k < 0$, the sets $Q_\varepsilon(n)$, Q and number N_0 are defined in (2) and in Theorem 1(a).

Proof. Let $t \in Q$. It easily follows from the classical investigations [12, chapter 3, theorem 2] (see (3), (4)) that there exist a large numbers r and c, independent of t, such that the all eigenvalues of the operators $L_{t,z}$ for $z \in [0,1]$, where $L_{t,z}$ is defined by (45), lie in the set

$$U(0,r) \cup (\bigcup_{k:|k|\geq N_0} U(\left(2\pi ki + ti\right)^n, ck^{n-1-\frac{1}{2m}})),$$

where $U(\mu,c) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :| \lambda - \mu |< c\}$. Clearly there exist a closed curve Γ such that:

(a) The curve Γ lies in the resolvent set of the operators $L_{t,z}$ for all $z \in [0,1]$.

(b) All eigenvalues of $L_{t,z}$ for all $z \in [0,1]$ that do not lie in $U(\left(2\pi ki + ti\right)^n, ck^{n-1-\frac{1}{2m}})$ for $|k| \geq N_0$ belong to the set enclosed by Γ.

Therefore taking into account that the family $L_{t,z}$ is holomorphic with respect to z, we obtain that the number of eigenvalues of operators $L_{t,0} = L_t(C)$ and $L_{t,1} = L_t$ lying inside of Γ are the same. It means that apart from the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$, where $|k| \geq N_0$, $j = 1,2,...,m$, there exists $(2N_0-1)m$ eigenvalues of the operator L_t. We define $\lambda_p(t)$ for $p > (2N_0-1)m$ and $t \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ by (63). Let us first prove that these eigenvalues, that is, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ for $|k| \geq N_0$ are analytic functions on $Q_\varepsilon(n)$. By Theorem 2(a) if

$t_0 \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$ and $|k| \geq N_0$ then $\lambda_{k,j}(t_0)$ is a simple root of (60), that is, $\Delta(\lambda, t_0) = 0$, and $\Delta^{'}(\lambda, t_0) \neq 0$ for $\lambda = \lambda_{k,j}(t_0)$. By implicit function theorem there exists a neighborhood $U(t_0)$ of t_0 and an analytic function $\lambda(t)$ on $U(t_0)$ such that $\Delta(\lambda(t), t) = 0$ for $t \in U(t_0)$ and $\lambda(t_0) = \lambda_{k,j}(t_0)$. By Theorem 2 $\lambda_{k,j}(t_0) \in U(\mu_{k,j}(t_0), c_1|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|))$. Since $\mu_{k,j}(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$ are continuous functions the neighborhood $U(t_0)$ of t_0 can be chosen so that

$\lambda(t) \in U(\mu_{k,j}(t), c_1|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|)$ for all $t \in U(t_0)$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2, there exist unique eigenvalue of L_t lying in $U(\mu_{k,j}(t), c_1|k|^{n-3}\ln|k|)$ and this eigenvalue is denoted by $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$. Therefore $\lambda(t) = \lambda_{k,j}(t)$ for all $t \in U(t_0)$, that is, $\lambda_{k,j}(t)$ is an analytic function in $U(t_0)$ for any $t_0 \in Q_\varepsilon(n)$.

Now let us continue analytically the function $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(t)$ into the sets $U(0,\varepsilon)$, $U(\pi,\varepsilon)$ by using (60) and the implicit function theorem. Consider (60) for

$$t \in U(0,\varepsilon),\ \lambda \in U_0 = U((2\pi ki)^n, 2n(2\pi k)^{n-1}\varepsilon).$$

Since U_0 is a bounded region $(\ker R) \cap U_0$ is a finite set (see (61)). Therefore the subset $A(U_0)$ of A corresponding to $(\ker R) \cap U_0$, that is, the values of t corresponding to the multiple zeros of (60) lying in U_0 is finite. It follows from (3) and (4) that for any $t \in U(0,\varepsilon)\backslash A(U_0)$ in the region U_0 the equation $\Delta(\lambda, t) = 0$ has $2m$ different solutions $d_1(t)$, $d_2(t), ..., d_{2m}(t)$ and

$$\Delta^{'}(\lambda, t) \neq 0 \text{ for } \lambda = d_1(t), d_2(t), ..., d_{2m}(t).$$

Using the implicit function theorem and taking into account (4) we see that there exists a neighborhood $U(t,\delta)$ of t such that:

(i) There exist analytic functions $d_{1,t}(z), d_{2,t}(z), ..., d_{2m,t}(z)$ in $U(t,\delta)$ coinciding with $d_1(t), d_2(t), ..., d_{2m}(t)$ for $z = t$ respectively and satisfying

$$\Delta(d_{s,t}(z), z) = 0,\ d_{s,t}(z) \neq d_{j,t}(z), \forall z \in U(t,\delta),\ s = 1, 2, ..., 2m,\ j \neq s.$$

(ii) $U(t,\delta) \cap A(U_0) = \emptyset$ and $d_{s,t}(z) \in U_0$ for $z \in U(t,\delta)$, $s = 1, 2, ..., 2m$.

Now take any point t_0 from $U(0,\varepsilon)\backslash A(U_0)$. Let γ be line segment in $U(0,\varepsilon)\backslash A(U_0)$ joining t_0 and a point of the circle $S(0,\varepsilon) = \{t : |t| = \varepsilon\}$. For any t from γ there exist $U(t,\delta)$ satisfying (i) and (ii). Since γ is a compact set the cover $\{U(t,\delta) : t \in \gamma\}$ of γ contains a finite cover $U(t_0,\delta), U(t_1,\delta), ..., U(t_v,\delta)$, where $t_v \in S(0,\varepsilon)$. Now we are ready to continue analytically the function $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(t)$ into the set $U(0,\varepsilon)$. For any $z \in U(t_v,\delta) \cap Q_\varepsilon(n)$ the eigenvalue $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(z)$ coincides with one of the eigenvalues $d_{1,t_v}(z)$, $d_{2,t_v}(z)$, ..., $d_{2m,t_v}(z)$, since there exists $2m$ eigenvalue of L_z lying in U_0. Denote by B_s the subset of the set $U(t_v,\delta) \cap Q_\varepsilon(n)$ for which the function $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(z)$ coincides with $d_{s,t_v}(z)$. Since $d_{s,t}(z) \neq d_{i,t}(z)$ for $s \neq i$ the sets $B_1, B_2, ..., B_{2m}$ are pairwise disjoint and the union of these sets is $U(t_v,\delta) \cap Q_\varepsilon(n)$. Therefore there exists index s for which the set B_s contains accumulation point and hence $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(z) = d_{s,t_v}(z)$ for all $z \in U(t_v,\delta) \cap Q_\varepsilon(n)$. Thus $d_{s,t_v}(z)$ is analytic continuation of $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(z)$ to $U(t_v,\delta)$. In the same way we get the analytic continuation of $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(z)$ to $U(t_{v-1},\delta), U(t_{v-2},\delta), ..., U(t_0,\delta)$. Since t_0 is arbitrary point of $U(0,\varepsilon)\backslash A(U_0)$ we obtain the analytic continuation of $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(z)$ to $U(0,\varepsilon)\backslash A(U_0)$. The analytic continuation of $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(z)$ to $U(\pi,\varepsilon)\backslash A(U_\pi)$ can be obtained in the same way, where $A(U_\pi)$ can be defined as $A(U_0)$. Thus the function $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(t)$ is analytic in $Q\backslash A(p)$, where $A(p)$ consist of finite numbers $t_1^p, t_2^p, ..., t_{s_p}^p$. Since $\Delta(\lambda, t)$ is continuos with respect (λ, t), the function $\lambda_{p(k,j)}(t)$ can be extended continuously to the set Q.

Now let us define the eigenvalues $\lambda_p(t)$ for $p \leq (2N_1 - 1)m$, $t \in Q$ which are apart from the eigenvalues defined by (63). These eigenvalues lies in a bounded set B and by (61) the set $B \cap \ker R$ and the subset $A(B)$ of A corresponding to B are finite. Take a point a from the set $Q\backslash A$. Denote the eigenvalues of L_a in increasing (of absolute value) order $\mid \lambda_1(a) \mid \leq \mid \lambda_2(a) \mid \leq ... \leq \mid \lambda_{(2N_1-1)m}(a) \mid$. If $\mid \lambda_p(a) \mid = \mid \lambda_{p+1}(a) \mid$ then by $\lambda_p(a)$ we denote the eigenvalue that has a smaller argument, where argument is taken in $[0, 2\pi)$. Since $a \notin A$

the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), ..., \lambda_{(2N_1-1)m}(a)$ are simple zeros of $\Delta(\lambda, a) = 0$. Therefore using the implicit function theorem we obtain the analytic functions $\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), ..., \lambda_{(2N_1-1)m}(t)$ on a neighborhood $U(a, \delta)$ of a which are eigenvalues of L_t for $t \in U(a, \delta)$. These functions can be analytically continued to $Q_\varepsilon(n)\backslash A$, being the eigenvalues of L_t, where, as we noted above, $A \cap Q_\varepsilon(n)$ consist of a finite number of points. Taking into account that $A(B)$ is finite, arguing as we have done in the proof of analytic continuation and continuous extension of $\lambda_p(t)$ for $p > (2N_1 - 1)m$, we obtain the analytic continuations of these functions to the set Q except finite points and continuous extension to Q ■

By Gelfand's Lemma (see [4]) every compactly supported vector function $f(x)$ can be represented in the form

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f_t(x) dt, \tag{64}$$

where $f_t(x)$ is defined by (53). This representation can be extended to all function of $L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$, and

$$\int_0^1 \langle f_t(x), X_{k,t}(x) \rangle dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle f(x), X_{k,t}(x) \rangle dx,$$

where $\{X_{k,t} : k = 1, 2, ...\}$ is the biorthogonal system of $\{\Psi_{k,t} : k = 1, 2, ...\}$, $\Psi_{k,t}(x)$ is a normalized eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_k(t)$, the eigenvalue $\lambda_k(t)$ is defined in Lemma 6, $\Psi_{k,t}(x)$ and $X_{k,t}(x)$ are extended to $(-\infty, \infty)$ by (58) and by $X_{k,t}(x+1) = e^{i\bar{t}} X_{k,t}(x)$.

Let $a \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})\backslash A$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{a}{2})$ and let $l(\varepsilon)$ be a smooth curve joining the points $-a$ and $2\pi - a$ and satisfying

$$l(\varepsilon) \subset (Q_\varepsilon(n) \cap \Pi(a, \varepsilon))\backslash A, \; l(-\varepsilon) \cap A = \emptyset, \; D(\varepsilon) \cup \overline{D(-\varepsilon)} \subset Q \tag{65}$$

where $\Pi(a, \varepsilon) = \{x + iy : x \in [-a, 2\pi - a], y \in [0, 2\varepsilon)\}$, $l(-\varepsilon) = \{t : \bar{t} \in l(\varepsilon)\}$, the sets Q, $Q_\varepsilon(n)$ and A are defined in (2) and (62), $D(\varepsilon)$ and $D(-\varepsilon)$ are the domains enclosed by $l(\varepsilon) \cup [-a, 2\pi - a]$ and $l(-\varepsilon) \cup [-a, 2\pi - a]$ respectively, $\overline{D(-\varepsilon)}$ is closure of $D(-\varepsilon)$. It is clear that, the domain $D(\varepsilon) \cup \overline{D(-\varepsilon)}$ is enclosed by the closed curve $l(\varepsilon) \cup l^-(-\varepsilon)$, where $l^-(-\varepsilon)$ is the opposite arc of $l(-\varepsilon)$. Suppose $f \in S$, that is, (11) holds. If $2\varepsilon < \alpha$ then $f_t(x)$ is an analytic function of t in a neighborhood of $D(\varepsilon)$. Hence the Cauchy's theorem and (64) give

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{l(\varepsilon)} f_t(x) dt. \tag{66}$$

Since $l(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C}(n)$ (see (65) and the definition of $\mathbb{C}(n)$ in the introduction), it follows from Theorem 2(b) and Lemma 6 that for each $t \in l(\varepsilon)$ we have a decomposition

$$f_t(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(t) \Psi_{k,t}(x), \tag{67}$$

where $a_k(t) = (f_t, X_{k,t})$. Using (67) in (66) we get

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{l(\varepsilon)} f_t(x) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{l(\varepsilon)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(t) \Psi_{k,t}(x) dt. \tag{68}$$

Remark 1 *If* $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$ *then there exists points* $t_1, t_2, ..., t_k$ *of* $[0, 2\pi)$ *such that* λ *is an eigenvalue* $\lambda(t_j)$ *of* L_{t_j} *of multiplicity* s_j *for* $j = 1, 2, ..., k$. *Let* $S(\lambda, b) = \{z :\mid z - \lambda \mid = b\}$ *be a circle containing only the eigenvalue* $\lambda(t_j)$ *of* L_{t_j} *for* $j = 1, 2, ..., k$. *Using Lemma 6 we see that there exists a neighborhood* $U(t_j, \delta) = \{t :\mid t - t_j \mid \leq \delta\}$ *of* t_j *such that:*

(a) *The circle* $S(\lambda, b)$ *lies in the resolvent set of* L_t *for all* $t \in U(t_j, \delta)$ *and* $j = 1, 2, ..., k$.

(b) If $t \in (U(t_j,\delta)\backslash\{t_j\})$, then the operator L_t has only s_j eigenvalues lying in interior of $S(\lambda,b)$. These eigenvalues are simple and let us denote they by $\Lambda_{j,1}(t)$, $\Lambda_{j,2}(t)$, ..., $\Lambda_{j,s_j}(t)$, where $j=1,2,...,k$.

Thus the spectrum of L_t for $t \in U(t_j,\delta)$, $j=1,2,...,k$ separated by $S(\lambda,b)$ into two parts in since of [7] (see §6.4 of chapter 3 of [7]). Since $\{L_t : t \in U(t_j,\delta)\}$ is a holomorphic family of operators in since [7] (see §1 of chapter 7 of [7]), the theory of holomorphic family of finite dimensional operators can be applied to the part of L_t for $t \in U(t_j,\delta)$ corresponding to the inside of $S(\lambda,b)$. Therefore (see §1 of the chapter 2 of [7]) the eigenvalue $\Lambda_{j,1}(t)$, $\Lambda_{j,2}(t)$, ..., $\Lambda_{j,s_j}(t)$ and corresponding eigenprojections $P(\Lambda_{j,1}(t))$, $P(\Lambda_{j,2}(t))$, ..., $P(\Lambda_{j,s_j}(t))$ are branches of an analytic function. These eigenprojections is represented by a Laurent series in $t^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$, where $\nu \leq s_j$, with finite principal parts. One can easily see that if $\lambda_p(t)$ is a simple eigenvalue of L_t then

$$P(\lambda_p(t))f = (f, X_{p,t})\Psi_{p,t}, \quad \| P(\lambda_p(t)) \| = \frac{1}{\| X_{p,t} \|} = \left| \frac{1}{\alpha_p(t)} \right| \tag{69}$$

and $P(\lambda_p(t))$ is analytic function in some neighborhood of t, where $\alpha_p(t) = (\Psi_{p,t}, \Psi^*_{p,t})$. This and Lemma 6 show that for each p the function $a_p(t)\Psi_{p,t}$ is analytic on $D(\varepsilon) \cup \overline{D(-\varepsilon)}$ except finite points.

Theorem 4 *(a) If $f(x)$ is absolutely continuous, compactly supported function and $f^{'} \in L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$ then*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{l(\varepsilon)} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt \tag{70}$$

and

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{[0,2\pi)^+} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt, \tag{71}$$

where

$$\int_{[0,2\pi)^+} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{l(\varepsilon)} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt. \tag{72}$$

and the series (70), (71) converge uniformly in any bounded subset of $(-\infty,\infty)$.

(b) Every function $f(x) \in S$, where S is defined in (11), has decompositions (70) and (71), where the series converges in the norm of $L_2^m(a,b)$ for every $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The proof of (70) in case (a) follows from (68), Theorem 3, and Lemma 6. In Appendix A by writing the proof of the Theorem 2 of [19] in the vector form we get the proof of (70) in the case (b). In Appendix B the formula (71) is obtained from (70) by writing the proof of the Theorem 3 of [19] in the vector form ■

Definition 1 *Let λ be a point of the spectrum $\sigma(L)$ of L and $t_1,t_2,...,t_k$ be the points of $[0,2\pi)$ such that λ is a eigenvalue of L_{t_j} of multiplicity s_j for $j=1,2,...,k$. The point λ is called a spectral singularity of L if*

$$\sup \| P(\Lambda_{j,i}(t)) \| = \infty, \tag{73}$$

where supremum is taken over all $t \in (U(t_j,\delta)\backslash\{t_j\})$, $j=1,2,...,k$; $i=1,2,...,s_j$, the set $U(t_j,\delta)$ and the eigenvalues $\Lambda_{j,1}(t)$, $\Lambda_{j,2}(t)$, ..., $\Lambda_{j,s_j}(t)$ are defined in Remark 1. In other words λ is called a spectral singularity of L if there exists indices j,i such that the point t_j is a pole of $P(\Lambda_{j,i}(t))$. Briefly speaking a point $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$ is called a spectral singularity of L if the projections of L_t corresponding to the simple eigenvalues lying in the small neighborhood of λ are not uniformly bounded. We denote the set of spectral singularities by $S(L)$.

Remark 2 *Note that if $\gamma=\{\lambda_p(t):t\in(\alpha,\beta)\}$ is a curve lying in $\sigma(L)$ and containing no multiple eigenvalues of L_t, where $t\in[0,2\pi)$, then arguing as in papers [18,9] one can prove that for the projection $P(\gamma)$ of L corresponding to γ the following hold*

$$P(\gamma)f=\int_{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,X_{p,t})\Psi_{p,t},dt,\ \ \| P(\gamma)\|=\sup_{t\in(\alpha,\beta)}\| P(\lambda_p(t))\|, \tag{74}$$

that is, the definition 1 is equivalent to the definition of the spectral singularities given in [18,9], where the spectral singularities is defined as a points in the neighborhoods of which the projections $P(\gamma)$ are not uniformly bounded. The proof of (74) is long technical. In order to avoid eclipsing the essence by technical detail and taking into account that in the spectral expansion of L the eigenfunctions and eigenprojections of L_t for $t\in[0,2\pi)$ are used (see (71)), and using that there are the closed relationship between projections (see (74)) of L and L_t for $t\in[0,2\pi)$, in this paper, in the definition of the spectral singularities, without loss of naturalness, instead of the boundlessness of projections $P(\gamma)$ of L we use the boundlessness of projections $P(\lambda_p(t))$, of L_t, that is, we use the definition 1. In any case the spectral singularity is a point of $\sigma(L)$ that requires the regularization in order to get the spectral expansion.

Theorem 5 (a) *All spectral singularity of L are contained in the set of the multiply eigenvalues of L_t for $t\in[0,2\pi)$, that is, $S(L)=\{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,...\}\subset\ker R\cap\sigma(L)$, where $S(L)$ and* $\ker R$ *are defined in the Definition 1 and in (61).*

(b) *Let $\lambda=\lambda_p(t_0)\in\sigma(L)\backslash S(L)$, where $t_0\in(a,2\pi-a)$. If $\gamma_1,\ \gamma_2,...,$ are sequence of smooth curves lying in a neighborhood $U=\{t\in\mathbb{C}:\ \mid t-t_0\mid\leq\delta_0\}$ of t_0 and approximating the interval $[t_0-\delta_0,t_0+\delta_0]$ then*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\gamma_k}a_p(t)\Psi_{p,t}(x)dt=\int_{t_0-\delta_0}^{t_0-\delta_0}a_p(t)\Psi_{p,t}(x)dt, \tag{75}$$

where U is a neighborhood of t_0 such that if $t\in U$ then $\lambda_p(t)$ is not a spectral singularity.

(c) *If the operator L has not spectral singularities then we have the following spectral expansion in term of the parameter $t:$*

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt. \tag{76}$$

If $f(x)$ is absolutely continuous, compactly supported function and $f^{'}\in L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$ then the series in (76) converges uniformly in any bounded subset of $(-\infty,\infty)$. If $f(x)\in S$ then the series converges in the norm of $L_2^m(a,b)$ for every $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (a) If $\lambda_p(t_0)$ is a simple eigenvalue of L_{t_0} then due to the Remark 1 (see (69) and the end of Remark 1) the projection $P(\lambda_p(t))$ and $\mid\alpha_p(t)\mid$ continuously depend on t in some neighborhood of t_0. On the other hand $\alpha_p(t_0)\neq0$, since the system of the root functions of L_{t_0} is complete. Therefore it follows from the Definition 1 that λ is not a spectral singularities of L.

(b) It follows from (61) and Theorem 5(a) that there exists a neighborhood U of t_0 such that if $t\in U$ then $\lambda_p(t)$ is not spectral spectral singularities of L. If $\lambda_p(t_0)\in\sigma(L)\backslash S(L)$ then by Definition 1 t_0 is not a pole of $P(\lambda_p(t))$, that is, by Remark 1 the Laurent series in $t^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$, where $\nu\leq s$, of $P(\lambda_p(t))$ at t_0 has not principal part. Therefore (69) implies that $\frac{1}{|\alpha_p(t)|}$ and hence $\frac{1}{|\alpha_p(t)|}(f_t,\Psi_{p,t}^*)\Psi_{p,t}$ is a bounded continuous functions in some neighborhood of t_0 , which implies the proof of (b).

(c) It follows from Theorem $5(b)$ that if the operator L has not spectral singularities then

$$\int_{[0,2\pi)^+} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt = \int_0^{2\pi} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt, \tag{77}$$

where the left-hand side is defined by (72). Thus (76) follows from (77), (71) ■

Now we change the variables to λ by using the characteristic equation $\Delta(\lambda,t)=0$ and the implicit-function theorem. By (60) $\Delta(\lambda,t)$ and $\frac{\partial\Delta(\lambda,t)}{\partial t}$ are polynomials of e^{it} and their resultant is entire function. It is clear that this resultant is not zero function. Let $b_1, b_2, ...,$ be zeros of the resultant, i.e., are the common zeros of the polynomials $\Delta(\lambda,t)$ and $\frac{\partial\Delta(\lambda,t)}{\partial t}$. Then $\lim_{k\to\infty} b_k=\infty$ and the equation $\Delta(\lambda,t)=0$ defines a function $t(\lambda)$ such that

$$\Delta(\lambda,t(\lambda))=0,\ \frac{dt}{d\lambda}=-\frac{\partial\Delta/\partial\lambda}{\partial\Delta/\partial t},\ \frac{\partial\Delta(\lambda,t)}{\partial t}/_{t=t(\lambda)}\neq 0 \tag{78}$$

for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{b_1,b_2,...,\}$. Consider the functions

$$F_{p,t}(x)=\sum_{k=1,2...,n} Y_k(x,\lambda_p(t))A_k(t,\lambda_p(t))=(\sum_{k=1,2...,n} Y_k(x,\lambda)A_k(t(\lambda),\lambda))_{\lambda=\lambda_p(t)} \tag{79}$$

where $Y_1(x,\lambda), Y_2(x,\lambda),\ldots,Y_n(x,\lambda)$ are linearly independent solutions of (59),

$A_k=(A_{k,1},A_{k,2},...,A_{k,m})$, $A_{k,i}=A_{k,i}(t,\lambda)$ is the cofactor of the entry in mn row and $(k-1)m+i$ column of the determinant (60). One can readily see that

$$A_{k,i}(t,\lambda)=g_s(\lambda)e^{ist}+g_{s-1}(\lambda)e^{i(s-1)t}+...+g_1(\lambda)e^{it}+g_0(\lambda), \tag{80}$$

where $g_0(\lambda), g_1(\lambda), ...,$ are entire functions. By (78) $A_{k,i}(t(\lambda),\lambda)$ is analytic function in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{b_1,b_2,...,\}$. Since the operator L_t for $t\neq 0,\pi$ has a simple eigenvalue there exists a nonzero cofactor of the determinant (60). Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $A_{k,1}(t(\lambda),\lambda)$ is nonzero function. Then $A_{k,1}(t(\lambda),\lambda)$ has a finite number zeros in each compact subset of $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{b_1,b_2,...,\}$. Therefore there exists a countable set E_1 such that

$$\{b_1,b_2,...,\}\subset E_1,\ A_{k,1}(t(\lambda),\lambda)\neq 0,\ \forall\lambda\notin E_1. \tag{81}$$

Let A_1 be the set of all t satisfying $\Delta(\lambda,t)=0$ for some $\lambda\in E_1$. Clearly A_1 is a countable set. Now using Lemma 6, (79), (81) and taking into account that the functions $Y_1(x,\lambda), Y_2(x,\lambda),\ldots,Y_n(x,\lambda)$ are linearly independent, we obtain

$$\Psi_{p,t}(x)=\frac{F_{p,t}(x)}{\parallel F_{p,t}\parallel},\ \ \parallel F_{p,t}\parallel\neq 0,\ \forall t\in(D(\varepsilon)\cup\overline{D(-\varepsilon)})\backslash(A\cup A_1), \tag{82}$$

where $\Psi_{p,t}(x)$ is a normalized eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_p(t)$. Since the set $A\cup A_1$ is countable there exist the curves $l(\varepsilon_1), l(\varepsilon_2), ...,$ such that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} l(\varepsilon_s)=[-a,2\pi-a],\ l(\varepsilon_s)\in(D(\varepsilon)\cup\overline{D(-\varepsilon)})\backslash(A\cup A_1),\ \forall s. \tag{83}$$

Now let us do the change of variables in (70). Using (78), (79), (82) we get

$$a_p(t(\lambda))\Psi_{p,t(\lambda)}(x)=\frac{h(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda)}F(x,\lambda),$$

where $F(x,\lambda)=\sum_{j=1,2...,n} Y_j(x,\lambda)A_j(\lambda)$, $A_j(\lambda)=A_j(t(\lambda),\lambda)$, (see (79), (80) for the definition of $A_j(t,\lambda)$), $(F(x,\lambda))_{\lambda=\lambda_p(t)}=F_{p,t}(x)$, $h(\lambda)=(f(\cdot),\Phi(\cdot,\lambda))$, $\Phi(x,\lambda_p(t))$ is eigenfunction of L_t^* corresponding to $\overline{\lambda_p(t)}$ and $\alpha(\lambda)\equiv(F(\cdot,\lambda),\Phi(\cdot,\lambda))$. Using this notations and (78), we obtain

$$\int\limits_{l(\varepsilon_s)} a_p(t)\Psi_{p,t}(x)dt=\int\limits_{\Gamma_p(\varepsilon_s)}\frac{-h(\lambda)\varphi(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda)\phi(\lambda)}(\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j(x,\lambda)A_j(\lambda))d\lambda, \tag{84}$$

where $\Gamma_p(\varepsilon_s)=\{\lambda=\lambda_p(t): t\in l(\varepsilon_s)\}$, $\varphi=\partial\Delta/\partial\lambda$, $\phi=\partial\Delta/\partial t$. Note that it follows from (78) and (83) that $\phi(\lambda)\neq 0$ for $\lambda\in\Gamma_p(\varepsilon_s)$. If $t\in l(\varepsilon_s)$ then by the definition of A and by (83) $\lambda_p(t)$ is a simple eigenvalue. Hence $\alpha_p(t)\neq 0$, since the root functions of L_t is complete in $L_2^m(0,1)$. Therefore $\alpha(\lambda)\neq 0$ for $\lambda\in\Gamma_p(\varepsilon_s)$.

To do the regularization about the spectral singularities $\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2,...$, we take into account that there are numbers i_l and δ such that for $\mid\lambda-\Lambda_l\mid<\delta$ the equality

$$\mid\frac{(\lambda-\Lambda_l)^{i_l}h(\lambda)\varphi(\lambda)A_j(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda)\phi(\lambda)}\mid< c_{18}$$

for $j=1,2,...,n$ holds and the neighborhoods $U_\delta(\Lambda_l)=\{\lambda:\mid\lambda-\Lambda_l\mid<\delta\}$ do not intersect. Introduce the mapping B as follows:

$$Bf(x,\lambda)=f(x,\lambda)-\sum_{l}\sum_{\nu=0}^{i_l-1}B_{l,\nu}(\lambda)\frac{\partial^\nu(f(x,\Lambda_l))}{\partial\lambda^\nu},$$

where $B_{l,\nu}(\lambda)=\frac{(\lambda-\Lambda_l)^\nu}{\nu!}$ for $\lambda\in U_{\delta,}(\Lambda_l)$ and $B_{l,\nu}(\lambda)=0$ for $\lambda\notin U_\delta(\Lambda_l)$. We set

$$\Gamma_k=\{\lambda=\lambda_k(t): t\in[0,2\pi)\},\ S_k=\{l:\Lambda_l\in\Gamma_k\cap S(L)\}.$$

Now using this notations and formulas (71), (72), (84), we get

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\int_{\Gamma_k}\frac{-h(\lambda)\varphi(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda))\phi(\lambda)}(\sum_{j=1}^{n}B(Y_j(x,\lambda))A_j(\lambda))d\lambda+\sum_{l\in S_k}M_{k,l}(x)),\tag{85}$$

where

$$M_{k,l}(x)=\lim_{s\to\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_k(\varepsilon_s)}\frac{-h(\lambda)\varphi(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda))\phi(\lambda)}(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\sum_{\nu=0}^{i_l-1}B_{l,\nu}(\lambda)\frac{\partial^\nu(Y_j(x,\Lambda_l))}{\partial\lambda^\nu}A_j(\lambda))d\lambda.$$

Thus Theorem 4 implies the following spectral expansion of L :

Theorem 6 *Every function $f(x)\in S$ has decomposition (85), where the series converges in the norm of $L_2^m(a,b)$ for every $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$. If $f(x)$ is absolutely continuous, compactly supported function and $f^{'}\in L_2^m(-\infty,\infty)$ then the series in (85) converges uniformly in any bounded subset of $(-\infty,\infty)$.*

Remark 3 *If $n=2\mu+1$, then by Theorem 2 all large eigenvalue of L_t for $t\in Q$ are simple, the set $A\cap Q$, is finite, the number of spectral singulariries is finite (if exist), (77) holds for $k\gg 1$, and if ε is small number, then D_ε and D_ε^- do not contain the point of A. Therefore the spectral expansion (85) has a simpler form. Moreover repeating the proof of Corollary 1(a) of [21], we obtain that every function $f(x)$ satisfying (10) has decomposition (85).*

4 Appendices

APPENDIX A. THE PROOF OF (70)

Here we justify the term by term integration of the series in (68). Let $H_{N,t}$ be the linear span of $\Psi_{1,t}(x)$, $\Psi_{2,t}(x)$, ..., $\Psi_{N,t}(x)$ and $f_{N,t}$ be the projection of $f_t(x)$ onto $H_{N,t}$. Since $\{\Psi_{k,t}(x)\}$ and $\{X_{k,t}(x)\}$ are biorthogonal system we have

$$f_{N,t}(x)=\sum_{k=1,2,...,N}a_k^N(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x),\tag{A1}$$

where $a_k^N(t) = (f_{N,t}, X_{k,t})$. Using the notations $g_{N,t} = f_t - f_{N,t}$, $b_k^N(t) = (g_{N,t}, X_{k,t})$ and (A1), we obtain $a_k^N(t) = a_k(t) - b_k^N(t)$ and

$$f_t = \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} (a_k(t) - b_k^N(t))\Psi_{k,t} + g_{N,t}.$$

This with (66) give

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} \int_{l_\varepsilon} (a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt \tag{A2}$$

$$+ \int_{l_\varepsilon} (g_{N,t}(x) - \sum_{k=1,2,..,N} b_k^N(t))\Psi_{k,t}(x))dt\Big).$$

To obtain (70) we must to prove that the last integral in (A2) tends to zero as $N \to \infty$. For this we prove the following

Lemma 7 *On l_ε the functions*

$$\| g_{N,t} \|, \ \ \| \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} b_k^N(t))\Psi_{k,t} \| \tag{A3}$$

tend to zero as $N \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to t.

Proof. First we prove that $\| g_{N,t} \|$ tends to zero uniformly. Let $P_{N,t}$ and $P_{\infty,t}$ be projections of $L_2^m[0,1]$ onto $H_{N,t}$ and $H_{\infty,t}$ respectively, where $H_{\infty,t} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{N,t}$. If follows from (67) that $f_t \in H_{\infty,t}$. On the other hand one can readily see that

$$H_{N,t} \subset H_{N+1,t} \subset H_{\infty,t}, \ P_{N,t} \subset P_{\infty,t}, \ P_{N,t} \to P_{\infty,t}. \tag{A4}$$

Therefore $P_{N,t} f_t \to f_t$, that is $\| g_{N,t} \| \to 0$. Since $\| g_{N,t} \|$ is a distance from f_t to $H_{N,t}$, for each sequence $\{t_1, t_1, ...\} \subset l(\varepsilon)$ converging to t_0 we have

$$\| g_{N,t_s} \| \leq \| f_{t_s} - \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} a_k^N(t_0)\Psi_{k,t_s}(x) \| \leq \| g_{N,t_0} \| +$$

$$\| f_{t_s} - f_{t_0} \| + \| \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} a_k^N(t_0)(\Psi_{k,t_0} - \Psi_{k,t_s}) \| \leq \| g_{N,t_0} \| + \alpha_s,$$

where $\alpha_s \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$ by continuity of f_t and $\Psi_{k,t}$ on $l(\varepsilon)$. Similarly (interchanging t_0 and t_s), we get $\| g_{N,t_0} \| \leq \| g_{N,t_s} \| + \beta_s$, where $\beta_s \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$. Hence $\| g_{N,t} \|$ is a continuos function on the compact $l(\varepsilon)$. On the other hand the first inclusion of (A4) implies that $\| g_{N,t} \| \geq \| g_{N+1,t} \|$. Now it follows from the proved three properties of $\| g_{N,t} \|$ that $\| g_{N,t} \|$ tend to zero as $N \to \infty$ uniformly on the compact $l(\varepsilon)$.

Now to prove that the second function in (A3) tends to zero uniformly we consider the family of operators $\Gamma_{p,t}$ for $t \in l(\varepsilon)$, $p = 1, 2, ...$, by formula

$$\Gamma_{p,t}(f) = \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,p} (f, X_{k,t})\Psi_{k,t}(x). \tag{A5}$$

First let us prove that the set

$$\Gamma(f) = \{\Gamma_{p,t}(f) : t \in l(\varepsilon), p = 1, 2, ...,\} \tag{A6}$$

is a bounded subset of $L_2^m[0,1]$. Since in the Hilbert space every weakly bounded subset is a strongly bounded subset, it is enough to show that for each $g \in L_2^m[0,1]$ there exists a constant M such that

$$\mid (g, \varphi) \mid < M, \forall \varphi \in \Gamma(f). \tag{A7}$$

Decomposing g by the basis $\{X_{k,t} : k = 1, 2, ...,\}$, using definition of φ (see (A7), (A6), (A5)), and then the uniform asymptotic formulas (8), (9) we obtain

$$\mid (g, \varphi) \mid \leq \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,p} \mid (\varphi, X_{k,t})(g, \Psi_{k,t}) \mid \leq \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,p} \mid (\varphi, X_{k,t}) \mid^2$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,p} \mid (g, \Psi_{k,t}) \mid^2 \leq \parallel \varphi \parallel^2 + \parallel g \parallel^2 + c_{19}.$$

which implies (A7). Thus $\Gamma(f)$ is a bounded set. On the other hand one can readily see that $\Gamma_{p,t}$ for $t \in l(\varepsilon)$, $p = 1, 2, ...$, is a linear continuous operator. Therefore by Banach-Steinhaus theorem the family of operators $\Gamma_{p,t}$ is equicontinuous. Now using the equality

$$\Gamma_{N,t} g_{N,t} = \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} b_{k,j}^N(t))\Psi_{k,j,t}$$

and taking into account that the first function in (A3) tend to zero uniformly, we obtain that the second function in (A3) also tends to zero uniformly ■

Using Lemma 7 and Schwarz inequality we get

$$\parallel \int_{l_\varepsilon} (g_{N,t}(x) - \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} b_k^N(t))\Psi_{k,t}(x))dt \parallel \leq$$

$$C_\varepsilon \int_a^b \int_{l(\varepsilon)} \mid g_{N,t}(x) - \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} b_{k,}^N(t))\Psi_{k,t}(x)) \mid\mid dt \mid dx =$$

$$C_\varepsilon \int_{l(\varepsilon)} \parallel (g_{N,t}(x) - \sum_{k=1,2,\ldots,N} b_k^N(t))\Psi_{k,t}(x)) \parallel\mid dt \mid \to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty,$$

where C_ε is the length of $l(\varepsilon)$, the norm used here is the norm of $L_2^m(a,b)$, a and b are the real numbers. This with (A2) justify the term by term integration of the series in (68).

APPENDIX B. THE PROOF OF (71)

Here we use the notation introduced in (65) and prove (71). Since for fixed k the function $a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)$ is analytic on $D(\varepsilon)$ except finite number points $t_1^k, t_2^k, ..., t_{p_k}^k$ (see the end of the Remark 1) we have

$$\int_{l(\varepsilon)} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}dt = \int_{[0,2\pi]^+} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}dt + \sum_{s:t_s^k \in D(\varepsilon)} \text{Res}_{t=t_s^k} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}, \tag{B1}$$

Similarly

$$\int_{l(-\varepsilon)} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}dt = \int_{[0,2\pi]^+} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}dt + \sum_{s:t_s^k \in \overline{D(-\varepsilon)}} \text{Res}_{t=t_s^k} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}. \tag{B2}$$

Since $l(\varepsilon) \cup l^-(-\varepsilon)$ is a closed curve enclosing $D(-\varepsilon) \cup \overline{D(-\varepsilon)}$, we have

$$\int_{l(\varepsilon) \cup l^-(-\varepsilon)} a_{k,j}(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt = \sum_{s:t_s^k \in D(-\varepsilon) \cup \overline{D(-\varepsilon)}} \text{Res}_{t=t_s^k} a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}. \tag{B3}$$

Now applying (70) to the curves $l(\varepsilon)$, $l(-\varepsilon)$, $l(\varepsilon)\cup l^{-}(-\varepsilon)$, using (B1), (B2), (B3) and taking into account that $l(\varepsilon)\cup l^{-}(-\varepsilon)$ is a closed curve, we obtain

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1,2,\ldots}\Big(\int_{[0,2\pi]^{+}}a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt+\sum_{s:t_s^k\in D(\varepsilon)}\mathrm{Res}_{t=t_s^k}a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}\Big), \tag{B4}$$

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1,2,\ldots}\Big(\int_{[0,2\pi]^{+}}a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}(x)dt+\sum_{s:t_s^k\in\overline{D(-\varepsilon)}}\mathrm{Res}_{t=t_s^k}a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}\Big). \tag{B5}$$

$$0=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{l(\varepsilon)\cup l^{-}(-\varepsilon)}f_t(x)dt=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=1,2,\ldots}\Big(\sum_{s:t_s^k\in(D(-\varepsilon)\cup\overline{D(-\varepsilon)})}\mathrm{Res}_{t=t_s^k}a_k(t)\Psi_{k,t}\Big). \tag{B6}$$

Adding (B4) and (B5) and then using (B6) we get the proof of (71).

References

[1] N. K. Bari, Biorthogonal system and bases in Hilbert space,Uch. Zap. MSU , 148, no. 4 pp. 69-107, 1951.

[2] M. S. P. Eastham, The Spectral Theory of Periodic Differential Equations, Edinburg, Scottish Academic Press, 1973.

[3] M. G. Gasymov, Spectral analysis of a class of second-order nonself-adjoint differential operators, Fankts. Anal. Prilozhen 14, pp. 14-19, 1980.

[4] I. M. Gelfand, Expansion in series of eigenfunctions of an equation with periodic coefficients, Sov. Math. Dokl. 73, pp.1117-1120, 1950.

[5] F. Gesztesy and V. Tkachenko, When is a non-self-adjoint Hill operator a spectral operator of scalar type, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 343, pp. 239-242, 2006 .

[6] I. T. Goghberg & M. G. Krein, Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonself-adjoint Operators, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1969.

[7] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

[8] L. M. Luzhina, Regular Spectral Problems in the Space of Vector-functions", Moscow Univ. Math. Mech. Bull. 1, pp. 31-35, 1988.

[9] F. G. Maksudov, O. A. Veliev, Spectral analysis of differential operators with periodic matrix coefficients. Differential Equations, vol. 25, no.3, pp. 271-277, 1989.

[10] D. C. McGarvey, Differential operators with periodic coefficients in $L_p(-\infty,\infty)$, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 11, pp. 564-596, 1965.

[11] D. C. McGarvey, Perturbation results for periodic differential operators, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 12, pp. 187-234, 1965.

[12] M. A. Naimark, Linear Differential Operators, George G. Harrap, London, 1967.

[13] A. A. Shkalikov, On the basis property of the eigenfunctions of ordinary differential operators with integral boundary conditions, Vestnik Moskov University, Ser. Mat. Mekh., Vol 37, no. 6, pp. 12-21, 1982.

[14] A. A. Shkalikov, Boundary value problem for ordinary differential equations with parameter in the boundary conditions, Trudy Sem. Petrovsk, 9, pp. 190-229, 1983.

[15] E. C. Titchmarsh, Eigenfunction Expansion (Part II). Oxford Univ. Press, 1958.

[16] V. A. Tkachenko, Spectral analysis of nonself-adjoint Schrodinger operator with a periodic complex potential, Sov. Math. Dokl. 5, pp. 413-415, 1964.

[17] O. A. Veliev, The one dimensional Sshrödinger operator with a periodic complex-valued potential. Sov. Math. Dokl., 250, pp.1292-1296, 1980.

[18] O. A. Veliev, The spectrum and spectral singularities of the differential operators with periodic complex-valuedcoefficients. Differential Equations, no.8, pp. 1316-1324, 1983.

[19] O. A. Veliev, The spectral resolution of the nonselfadjoint differential operators with periodic coefficients. Differential Equations Vol.22, no.12, pp. 2052-2059, 1986.

[20] O. A .Veliev, M. Toppamuk Duman, The spectral expansion for a nonself-adjoint Hill operators with a locally integrable potential, Journal of Math. Analysis and Appl. 265, pp. 76-90, 2002.

[21] O. A. Veliev, Spectral Expansion for a Nonselfadjoint Periodic Differential Operator, Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp.101-110, 2006.

[22] O. A. Veliev, On the Nonself-adjoint Sturm-Liouville Operators with Matrix Potentials, Mathematical Notes, Vol.81, No. 3-4, pp.440-448, 2007.